arXiv:1503.03399v4 [math.NT] 24 Jun 2016

ON THE FOURIER-JACOBI MODEL FOR SOME ENDOSCOPIC ARTHUR PACKET OF $U(3) \times U(3)$: THE NON-GENERIC CASE

JAEHO HAAN

Abstract. For a generic L-parameter of $U(n) \times U(n)$, it is conjectured that there is a unique representation in their associated relevant Vogan L-packet which produces the unique Fourier-Jacobi model. We investigated this conjecture for some non-generic L-parameters of $U(3) \times U(3)$ and discovered that this conjecture is still true for some non-generic L-parameter and false for some non-generic L-parameter. In the case when it holds, we specified such representation under the local Langlands correspondence for unitary group.

1. **Introduction**

The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture deals with certain restriction problems between p-adic groups. In this paper, we shall investigate it for some non-generic case not yet treated before.

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of number fields and $G = U(3)$ be the quasi-split unitary group of rank 3 relative to E/F. Then $H = U(2) \times U(1)$ is the unique elliptic endoscopic group for G. In [23], Rogawski has defined a certain enlarged class of L-packets, or A-packets, of G using endoscopic transfer of one-dimensional characters of H to G. In more detail, let $\varrho = \otimes_v \varrho_v$ be a one-dimensional automorphic character of H. The A-packet $\Pi(\varrho) \simeq \otimes \Pi(\varrho_v)$ is the transfer of ϱ with respect to functoriality for an embedding of L-groups $\xi :^L H \to^L G$. Then for all places v of F, $\Pi(\varrho_v)$ contains a certain non-tempered representation $\pi^n(\varrho_v)$ and it contains an additional supercuspidal representaton $\pi^s(\varrho_v)$ precisely when v remains prime in E. Gelbart and Rogawski [12] showed that the representations in this A-packet arise in the Weil representation of G. Our goal is to study the branching rule of the representations in this A-packet.

For the branching problem, there is a fascinating conjecture, the so-called Gan-Gross-Prasad (GGP) conjecture, which was first proposed by Gross and Prasad [7] for orthogonal group and later they, together with Gan, extended it to all classical group in [6]. Since our main theorem has to do with it, we shall give a brief review on the GGP conjecture, especially for unitary group.

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of non-archimedean local fields of characteristic zero. Let V_{n+1} be a Hermitian space of dimension $n+1$ over E and W_n a skew-Hermitian space of dimension n over E. Let $V_n \subset V_{n+1}$ be a nondegenerate subspace of codimension 1, so that if we set

$$G_n = \mathrm{U}(V_n) \times \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1}) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{U}(W_n) \times \mathrm{U}(W_n)$$

and

$$H_n = \mathrm{U}(V_n) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{U}(W_n),$$

Date: June 27, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Gross-Prasad conejcture, Fourier-Jacobi case, non-tempered Arthur packet, unitary groups, local theta correspondence, ϵ-factor.

then we have a diagonal embedding

$$\Delta : H_n \hookrightarrow G_n.$$

Let π be an irreducible smooth representation of G_n. In the Hermitian case, one is interested in computing

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H_n}(\pi, \mathbb{C}).$$

We shall call this the *Bessel* case (B) of the GGP conjecture. For the GGP conjecture in the skew-Hermitian case, we need to introduce a certain Weil representation ω_{ψ,χ,W_n} of H_n, where ψ is a nontrivial additive character of F and χ is a character of $E^\times$ whose restriction to $F^\times$ is the quadratic character $\omega_{E/F}$ associated to E/F by local class field theory. (For the exact definition of ω_{ψ,χ,W_n}, please refer to Section. 3.1.) In this case, one is interested in computing

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H_n}(\pi, \omega_{\psi,\chi,W_n}).$$

We shall call this the *Fourier–Jacobi* case (FJ) of the GGP conjecture. To treat both cases using one notation, we shall let $\nu = \mathbb{C}$ or ω_{ψ,χ,W_n} in the respective cases.

By the results of [1] [25], it is known that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H_n}(\pi, \nu) \leq 1$$

and so the next step is to specify irreducible smooth representations π such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H_n}(\pi, \nu) = 1.$$

(A non-zero element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H_n}(\pi, \nu)$ is called a *Bessel* (*Fourier-Jacobi*) model of π in the (skew) hermitian case.)

In [6], Gan, Gross, Prasad has brought this problem into a more general setting using the notion of relevant pure inner forms of G_n and Vogan L-packets. A pure inner form of G_n is a group of the form

$$G_n' = \mathrm{U}(V_n') \times \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1}') \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{U}(W_n') \times \mathrm{U}(W_n'')$$

where $V_n' \subset V_{n+1}'$ are n and $n+1$ dimensional hermitian spaces over E and W_n', W_n'' are n-dimensional skew hermitian spaces over E.

Furthermore, if

$$V_{n+1}'/V_n' \cong V_{n+1}/V_n \quad \text{or} \quad W_n' = W_n'',$$

we say that G_n' is relevant pure inner form.
(Indeed, there are four pure inner forms of G_n and among them, only two are relevant.)

If G_n' is relevant, we set

$$H_n' = \mathrm{U}(V_n') \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{U}(W_n'),$$

so that we have a diagonal embedding

$$\Delta : H_n' \hookrightarrow G_n'.$$

Now suppose that ϕ is an L-parameter for the group G_n. Then the (relevant) Vogan L-packet Π_ϕ associated to ϕ consists of certain irreducible smooth representations of G_n and its (relevant) pure inner forms G_n' whose L-parameter is ϕ. We denote the relevant Vogan L-packet of ϕ by Π_ϕ^R.

With these notions, we can loosely state the result of Beuzart-Plessis ([3],[4],[5]) for *Bessel* case and Gan-Ichino ([9]) for *Fourier-Jacobi* case as follows :

Theorem 1.1. *For a tempered L-parameter ϕ of G_n, the followings hold:*

(i) $\sum_{\pi' \in \Pi_\phi^R} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H_n'}(\pi', \nu) = 1.$

(ii) *Using the local Langlands correspondence for unitary group, we can pinpoint the unique* $\pi' \in \Pi_\phi^R$ *such that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H'_n}(\pi', \nu) = 1.$$

To emphasize its dependence on the number n, we denote the *Bessel* and *Fourier-Jacobi* case of Theorem 1.1 as $(\mathrm{B})_n$ and $(\mathrm{FJ})_n$ respectively, and later we shall elaborate more on this notation. The GGP conjecture predicts that this theorem also holds for a generic L-parameter ϕ of G_n.

Our main theorem is to investigate $(\mathrm{FJ})_3$ for some L-parameter of G_3 involving a non-generic L-parameter of $U(W_3)$. More precisely, we have

Main Theorem. *For an irreducible smooth representation* π_2 *of* $U(W_3)$*, let* $\pi = \pi^n(\varrho) \otimes \pi_2$ *as a representation of* G_3*. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H_3}(\pi, \omega_{\psi,\chi,W_3}) = 0$ *if* π_2 *is not a theta lift from* $U(V_2)$*.*

(ii) *Assume that* π_2 *is the theta lift from* $U(V_1')$ *and let* $\phi = \phi^n \otimes \phi_2$ *be the* L*-parameter of* π*. Then*

$$\sum_{\pi' \in \Pi_\phi^R} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H'_3}(\pi', \omega_{\psi,\chi,W_3}) = 1.$$

(iii) *Using the local Langlands correspondence for unitary groups, we can explicitly describe the representation* $\pi' \in \Pi_\phi^R$ *appearing in (ii) such that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta H'_3}(\pi', \omega_{\psi,\chi,W_3}) = 1.$$

Remark 1.2. As we shall see in Theorem 3.2, the L-parameter of $\pi^n(\varrho_v)$ is not only non-tempered but also non-generic. Thus if we choose the L-parameter of ϕ_2 in ϕ apart from those obtained by the theta lift from $U(V_2)$ to $U(W_3)$, then the first part of the Main Theorem tells us that the GGP conjecture may not be true for non-generic L-parameter of G_n.

The proof of Main Theorem is based on the following see-saw diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{U}(W_3) \times \mathrm{U}(W_3) \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dr, dash] & \mathrm{U}(V_2) \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dl, dash] & . \\
\mathrm{U}(W_3) & \mathrm{U}(V_1) \times \mathrm{U}(V_1) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since all elements in the A-packet $\Pi(\varrho)$ can be obtained by theta lift from $U(V_1)$, we can write $\pi^n(\varrho) = \Theta_{\psi,\chi,W_3,V_1}(\sigma)$ where σ is an irreducible smooth character of $U(V_1)$ and ψ, χ are some characters, which are needed to fix a relevant Weil representation. Then by the see-saw identity, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U(W_3)}(\Theta_{\psi,\chi,W_3,V_1}(\sigma) \otimes \omega^\vee_{\psi,\chi,W_3}, \pi_2^\vee) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{U(V_1)}(\Theta_{\psi,\chi,V_2,W_3}(\pi_2^\vee), \sigma).$$

From this, we see that for having $\mathrm{Hom}_{U(W_3)}(\Theta_{\psi,\chi,W_3,V_1}(\sigma) \otimes \omega^\vee_{\psi,\chi,W_3}, \pi_2^\vee) \neq 0$, it should be preceded $\Theta_{\psi,\chi,V_2,W_3}(\pi_2^\vee) \neq 0$. This accounts for (i) in the **Main Theorem** because

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U(W_3)}(\Theta_{\psi,\chi,W_3,V_1}(\sigma) \otimes \omega^\vee_{\psi,\chi,W_3}, \pi_2^\vee) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{U(W_3)}(\Theta_{\psi,\chi,W_3,V_1}(\sigma) \otimes \pi_2, \omega_{\psi,\chi,W_3}).$$

If $\Theta_{\psi,\chi,V_2,W_3}(\pi_2^\vee) \neq 0$, then by the local theta correspondence, $\pi_2^\vee$ should be $\Theta_{\psi,\chi,W_3,V_2}(\pi_0)$, where π_0 is an irreducible representation of $U(V_2)$. By applying $(\mathrm{B})_1$, we can pinpoint π_0 and σ in the framework of local Langlands correspondence such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{U(V_1)}(\pi_0, \sigma) \neq 0$. Next we shall use the precise local theta correspondences for $\big(U(V_1), U(W_3)\big)$ and $\big(U(V_1), U(W_1)\big)$ in order to transfer recipe for $(\mathrm{B})_1$ to $(\mathrm{FJ})_3$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows; In Section 2, we shall give a brief sketch of the local Langlands correspondence for unitary group. In Section 3, we collect some results on the local theta correspondence for unitary group which we will use in the proof of our main results. In Section 4, we shall prove our Main Theorem.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express deep gratitude to professor Haseo Ki for introducing him this research area. The influence of the work of Gan and Ichino [9] on this paper is obvious to the reader. We are grateful to professor Atsushi Ichino for his kind suggestion to pursue the non-tempered aspects of the GGP conjecture. The author expresses his deepest thanks to the referee for his many invaluable comments and bringing our attention to the work of H.Atobe and Gan [2] containing Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.4, both of which are crucial to prove our main theorem. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIP)(ASARC, NRF-2007-0056093).

1.1. **Notations.** We fix some notations we shall use throughout this paper:

- E/F is a quadratic extension of non-archimedean local fields of characteristic zero.
- c is the non-trivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$.
- Fr_E is a Frobenius element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{E}/E)$.
- Denote by $\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{E/F}$ the trace and norm maps from E to F.
- δ is an element of E such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta) = 0$.
- Let ψ be an additive character of F and define

$$\psi^E(x) := \psi(\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2^E(x) := \psi(\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta x)).$$

- Let χ be a character of $E^\times$ whose resriction to $F^\times$ is $\omega_{E/F}$, which is the quadratic character assosiated to E/F by local class field theory.
- For a linear algebraic group G, its F-points will be denoted by $G(F)$ or simply by G.

2. **Local Langlands correspondence for unitary group**

By the recent work of Mok [22] and Kaletha-Mínguez-Shin-White [19], the local Langlands correpondence is now known for unitary group conditional on the stabilization of the twisted trace formula and weighted fundamental lemma. The twisted trace formula has now been stabilized by Waldspurger [28]–[35] and Moeglin-Waldspurger [20]–[21] and the proof of the weighted fundamental lemma is an ongoing project of Chaudouard and Laumon. Since our main results are expressed using the local Langlands correspondence, we shall assume the local Langlands correspondence for unitary group. In this section, we list some of its properties which are used in this paper. Indeed, much of this section are excerpts from Section.2 in [9].

2.1. **Hermitian and skew-Hermitian spaces.** For $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, let V be a finite n-dimensional vector space over E equipped with a nondegenerate ε-hermitian c-sesquilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_V : V \times V \to E$. That means for $v, w \in V$ and $a, b \in E$,

$$\langle av, bw\rangle_V = ab^c\langle v, w\rangle_V, \qquad \langle w, v\rangle_V = \varepsilon \cdot \langle v, w\rangle_V^c.$$

We define $\operatorname{disc} V = (-1)^{(n-1)n/2} \cdot \det V$, so that

$$\operatorname{disc} V \in \begin{cases} F^\times/\mathrm{N}_{E/F}(E^\times) & \text{if } \varepsilon = +1; \\ \delta^n \cdot F^\times/\mathrm{N}_{E/F}(E^\times) & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1 \end{cases}$$

and we can define $\epsilon(V)=\pm 1$ by

$$\epsilon(V)=\begin{cases}\omega_{E/F}(\operatorname{disc}V) & \text{if } \varepsilon=+1;\\ \omega_{E/F}(\delta^{-n}\cdot\operatorname{disc}V) & \text{if } \varepsilon=-1.\end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

By a theorem of Landherr, for a given positive integer n, there are exactly two isomorphism classes of ε-hermitian spaces of dimension n and they are distinguished from each other by $\epsilon(V)$.
Let $\mathrm{U}(V)$ be the unitary group of V defined by

$$\mathrm{U}(V)=\{g\in\mathrm{GL}(V)\,|\,\langle gv,gw\rangle_V=\langle v,w\rangle_V \text{ for } v,w\in V\}.$$

Then $U(V)$ turns out to be connected reductive algebraic group defined over F.

2.2. ***L*-parameters and component groups.** Let I_F be the inertia subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. Let $W_F=I_F\rtimes\langle\mathrm{Fr}_F\rangle$ be the Weil group of F and $WD_F=W_F\times\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ the Weil-Deligne group of F. For a homomorphism $\phi: WD_F\to\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we say that it is a representation of WD_F if

(i) ϕ is continuous and $\phi(\mathrm{Fr}_F)$ is semisimple,
(ii) the restriction of ϕ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is induced by a morphism of algebraic groups $\mathrm{SL}_2\to\mathrm{GL}_n$

If moreover the image of W_F is bounded then we say that ϕ is tempered. Define $\phi^\vee$ by $\phi^\vee(w)={}^t\phi(w)^{-1}$ and call this the contragredient representation of ϕ. If E/F is a quadratic extension of local fields and ϕ is a representation of WD_E, fix $s\in W_F\smallsetminus W_E$ and define a representation ϕ^c of WD_E by $\phi^c(w)=\phi(sws^{-1})$. The equivalence class of ϕ^c is independent of the choice of s. We say that ϕ is conjugate self-dual if there is an isomorphism $b:\phi\mapsto(\phi^\vee)^c$. Note that there is a natural isomorphism $(((\phi^\vee)^c)^\vee)^c\simeq\phi$ so that $(b^\vee)^c$ can be considered as an isomorphism from ϕ onto $(\phi^\vee)^c$. For $\varepsilon=\pm1$, we say that ϕ is conjugate self-dual with sign ε if there exists such a b satisfying the extra condition $(b^\vee)^c=\varepsilon\cdot b$. Define $\mathrm{As}(\phi): WD_F\to\mathrm{GL}_{n^2}(\mathbb{C})$ by tensor induction of ϕ as follows;

$$\mathrm{As}(\phi)(w)=\begin{cases}\phi(w)\otimes\phi(s^{-1}ws) & \text{if } w\in WD_E\\ \iota\circ(\phi(s^{-1}w)\otimes\phi(ws)) & \text{if } w\in WD_F\smallsetminus WD_E\end{cases}$$

where ι is the linear isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^n\otimes\mathbb{C}^n$ given by $\iota(x\otimes y)=y\otimes x$.

Then the equivalence class of $\mathrm{As}(\phi)$ is also independent of the choice of s. We set $\mathrm{As}^+(\phi)=\mathrm{As}(\phi)$ and $\mathrm{As}^-(\phi)=\mathrm{As}(\chi\otimes\phi)$.

Let V be a n-dimensional ε-hermitian space over E. An L-parameter for the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(V)$ is a $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy class of admissible homomorphisms

$$\phi:\, WD_F\longrightarrow{}^L\, U(V)=GL_n(\mathbb{C})\rtimes\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$$

such that the composite of ϕ with the projection onto $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ is the natural projection of WD_F to $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$.

The following proposition from [6, §8] enables us to removes the cumbersome $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$-factor in the definition of L-parameter of $U(V)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Restriction to WD_E gives a bijection between the set of L-parameters for $U(V)$ and the set of equivalence classes of conjugate self-dual representations*

$$\phi:\, WD_E\longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$$

of sign $(-1)^{n-1}$.

With this proposition, by an L-parameter for $U(V)$, we shall mean a n-dimensional conjugate self-dual representation ϕ of WD_E of sign $(-1)^{n-1}$.

Given a L-parameter ϕ of $U(V)$, we say that ϕ is generic if its adjoint L-function $L(s, \mathrm{Ad} \circ \phi) = L(s, \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{n-1}}(\phi))$ is holomorphic at $s = 1$. Write ϕ as a direct sum

$$\phi = \bigoplus_i m_i \phi_i$$

of pairwise inequivalent irreducible representations ϕ_i of WD_E with multiplicities m_i. We say that ϕ is square-integrable if it has no multiplicity (i.e. $m_i = 1$ for all i) and ϕ_i is conjugate self-dual with sign $(-1)^{n-1}$ for all i. Furthermore, we can associate its component group S_ϕ to ϕ. As explained in [6, §8], S_ϕ is a finite 2-abelian group which can be described as

$$S_\phi = \prod_j (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) a_j$$

with a canonical basis $\{a_j\}$, where the product ranges over all j such that ϕ_j is conjugate self-dual with sign $(-1)^{n-1}$. If we denote the image of $-1 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ in S_ϕ by z_ϕ, we have

$$z_\phi = (m_j a_j) \in \prod_j (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) a_j.$$

2.3. **Local Langlands correspondence for unitary group.** The aim of the local Langlands correspondence for unitary groups is to classify the irreducible smooth representations of unitary groups. To state it, we first introduce some notations.

- Let V^+ and V^- be the n-dimensional ε-Hermitian spaces with $\epsilon(V^+) = +1$, $\epsilon(V^-) = -1$ respectively.
- Let $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V^\pm))$ be the set of irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{U}(V^\pm)$.

Then the local Langlands correspondence a form enhenced by Vogan [26], says that for a L-parameter ϕ of $U(V^\pm)$, there is the so-called Vogan L-packet Π_ϕ, a finite set consisting of irreducible smooth representations of $U(V^\pm)$, such that

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V^+)) \sqcup \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V^-)) = \bigsqcup_\phi \Pi_\phi,$$

where ϕ on the right-hand side runs over all equivalence classes of L-parameters for $\mathrm{U}(V^\pm)$. Then under the local Langlands correspondence, we may also decompose Π_ϕ as

$$\Pi_\phi = \Pi_\phi^+ \sqcup \Pi_\phi^-,$$

where for $\epsilon = \pm 1$, Π_ϕ^ϵ consists of the representations of $\mathrm{U}(V^\epsilon)$ in Π_ϕ.

Furthermore, as explained in [6, §12], there is a bijection

$$J^\psi(\phi) : \Pi_\phi \to \mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi)$$

which is canonical when n is odd and depends on the choice of an additive character of $\psi : F^\times \to \mathbb{C}$ when n is even. More precisely, such bijection is determined by the $\mathrm{N}_{E/F}(E^\times)$-orbit of nontrivial additive characters

$$\begin{cases} \psi^E : E/F \to \mathbb{C}^\times & \text{if } \varepsilon = +1; \\ \psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^\times & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

According to this choice, when n is even, we write

$$J^{\psi} = \begin{cases} J_{\psi^E} & \text{if } \varepsilon = +1; \\ J_{\psi} & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1, \end{cases}$$

and even when n is odd, we retain the same notation $J^{\psi}(\phi)$ for the canonical bijection. Hereafter, if a nontrivial additive chracter $\psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is fixed, we define $\psi^E : E/F \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ by

$$\psi^E(x) := \psi(\tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta x))$$

and using these two characters, we fix once and for all a bijection

$$J^{\psi}(\phi) : \Pi_{\phi} \to \operatorname{Irr}(S_{\phi})$$

as above.

With these fixed bijections, we can label all irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{U}(V^{\pm})$ as $\pi(\phi, \eta)$ for some unique L-parameter ϕ of $U(V^{\pm})$ and $\eta \in \operatorname{Irr}(S_{\phi})$.

2.4. **Properties of the local Langlands correspondence.** We briefly list some properties of the local Langlands correspondence for unitary group, which we will use in this paper:

- $\pi(\phi, \eta)$ is a representation of $\mathrm{U}(V^{\epsilon})$ if and only if $\eta(z_{\phi}) = \epsilon$.
- $\pi(\phi, \eta)$ is tempered if and only if ϕ is tempered.
- $\pi(\phi, \eta)$ is square-integrable if and only if ϕ is square-integrable.
- The component groups S_{ϕ} and $S_{\phi^{\vee}}$ are canonically identified. Under this canonical identification, if $\pi = \pi(\phi, \eta)$, then its contragradient representation $\pi^{\vee}$ is $\pi(\phi^{\vee}, \eta \cdot \nu)$ where

$$\nu(a_j) = \begin{cases} \omega_{E/F}(-1)^{\dim \phi_j} & \text{if } \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \phi \text{ is even}; \\ 1 & \text{if } \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \phi \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

  (This property follows from a result of Kaletha [18, Theorem 4.9].)

3. Local theta correspondence

In this section, we state the local theta correspondence of unitary groups for two low rank cases. From now on, for $\epsilon = \pm 1$, we shall denote by V_n^{ϵ} the n-dimensional Hermitian space with $\epsilon(V_n^{\epsilon}) = \epsilon$ and by W_n^{ϵ} the n-dimensional skew-Hermitian space with $\epsilon(W_n^{\epsilon}) = \epsilon$, so that $W_n^{\epsilon} = \delta \cdot V_n^{\epsilon}$.

3.1. **The Weil representation for Unitary groups.** In this subsection, we introduce the Weil representation.

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of local fields and $(V_m, \langle , \rangle_{V_m})$ be a m-dimensional Hermitian space and $(W_n, \langle , \rangle_{W_n})$ a n-dimensional skew-Hermitian space over E. Define the symplectic space

$$\mathbb{W}_{V_m, W_n} := \operatorname{Res}_{E/F} V_m \otimes_E W_n$$

with the symplectic form

$$\langle v \otimes w, v' \otimes w' \rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{V_m, W_n}} := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{E/F} \left(\langle v, v' \rangle_{V_m} \langle w, w' \rangle_{W_n} \right).$$

We also consider the associated symplectic group $Sp(\mathbb{W}_{V_m, W_n})$ preserving $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{W}_{V_m, W_n}}$ and the metaplectic group $\widetilde{Sp}(\mathbb{W}_{V_m, W_n})$ which sits in a short exact sequence :

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^{\times} \to \widetilde{Sp}(\mathbb{W}_{V_m, W_n}) \to Sp(\mathbb{W}_{V_m, W_m}) \to 1.$$

Let $\mathbb{X}_{V_m,W_n}$ be a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathbb{W}_{V_m,W_n}$ and fix an additive character $\psi : F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then we have a Schrödinger model of the Weil Representation ω_ψ of $\widetilde{Sp}(\mathbb{W})$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X}_{V_m,W_n})$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the Schwartz-Bruhat function space.

If we set

$$\chi_{V_m} := \chi^m \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{W_n} := \chi^n,$$

where χ is a character of $E^\times$ whose resriction to $F^\times$ is $\omega_{E/F}$, which is the quadratic character assosiated to E/F by local class field theory, then (χ_{V_m}, χ_{W_n}) gives a splitting homomorphism

$$\iota_{\chi_{V_m},\chi_{W_n}} : U(V_m) \times U(W_n) \to \widetilde{Sp}(\mathbb{W}_{V_m,W_n})$$

and so by composing this to ω_ψ, we have a Weil representation $\omega_\psi \circ \iota_{\chi_{V_m},\chi_{W_n}}$ of $U(V_m) \times U(W_n)$ on $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{X}_{V_m,W_n})$.

When the choice of ψ and (χ_{V_m}, χ_{W_n}) is fixed as above, we simply write

$$\omega_{\psi,W_n,V_m} := \omega_\psi \circ \iota_{\chi_{V_m},\chi_{W_n}}.$$

Throughout the rest of the paper, when it comes to a Weil representations of $U(V_m) \times U(W_n)$, we shall denote it by ω_{ψ,W_n,V_m} with understanding the choices of characters (χ_{V_m}, χ_{W_n}) as above.

Remark 3.1. When $m = 1$, the image of $U(V_1)$ in $\widetilde{Sp}(\mathbb{W}_{V_1,W_n})$ coincides with the image of the center of $U(W_n)$, and so we regard the Weil representation of $U(V_1) \times U(W_n)$ as a representation of $U(W_n)$. In this case, we denote the Weil representation of $U(W_n)$ as ω_{ψ,W_n}. Furthermore, we can also use $\chi_{V_1} = \chi^{-1}$ for the choice of splitting homomorphism $\iota_{\chi_{V_1},\chi_{W_n}}$ instead of $\chi_{V_1} = \chi$. In this case, the Weil representation of $U(W_n)$ is $\omega^\vee_{\psi,W_n}$.

3.2. **Local theta correspondence.** Given a Weil representation ω_{ψ,W_n,V_m} of $U(V_m) \times U(W_n)$ and an irreducible smooth representation π of $\mathrm{U}(W_n)$, the maximal π-isotypic quotient of ω_{ψ,V_m,W_n} is of the form

$$\Theta_{\psi,V_m,W_n}(\pi) \boxtimes \pi$$

for some smooth representation $\Theta_{\psi,V_m,W_n}(\pi)$ of $\mathrm{U}(V_m)$ of finite length. By the Howe duality[1], the maximal semisimple quotient $\theta_{\psi,V_m,W_n}(\pi)$ of $\Theta_{\psi,V_m,W_n}(\pi)$ is either zero or irreducible.

In this paper, we consider two kinds of theta correspondences for $(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(3))$ and $(\mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(3))$:

3.3. **Case (i).** Now we shall consider the theta correspondence for $\mathrm{U}(V_1^{\epsilon}) \times \mathrm{U}(W_3^{\epsilon'})$. The following is a compound of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 in [14].

Theorem 3.2. *Let ϕ be a L-parameter of $U(V_1^{\pm})$. Then we have:*

(i) *For any $\epsilon, \epsilon' = \pm 1$ and any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi^{\epsilon'}$, $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ is nonzero and irreducible.*

(ii) $$\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \textit{a non-tempered representation} & \textit{if } \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) = \epsilon \cdot \epsilon' \\ \textit{a supercuspidal representation} & \textit{if } \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) = -\epsilon \cdot \epsilon' \end{cases},$$

where

$$\psi_2^E(x) = \psi(\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta x)).$$

---

[1] It was first proved by Waldspurger [27] for all residual characteristic except $p = 2$. Recently, Gan and Takeda [10], [11] have made it available for all residual characteristics.

(iii) *The L-parameter $\theta(\phi)$ of $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ has the following form;*

$$\theta(\phi)=\begin{cases}\theta^n(\phi)=\chi|\cdot|_E^{\frac{1}{2}}\oplus\phi\cdot\chi^{-2}\oplus\chi|\cdot|_E^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E)=\epsilon\cdot\epsilon' \\ \theta^s(\phi)=\phi\cdot\chi^{-2}\oplus\chi\boxtimes\boldsymbol{S}_2 & \text{if } \epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E)=-\epsilon\cdot\epsilon'\end{cases}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{S}_2$ is the standard 2-dimensional representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

(iv) *For ϵ,ϵ' such that $\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E)=\epsilon\cdot\epsilon'$, the theta correspondence $\pi\mapsto\theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ gives a bijection*

$$\Pi_\phi\longleftrightarrow\Pi_{\theta^n(\phi)}.$$

(v) *For ϵ,ϵ' such that $\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E)=-\epsilon\cdot\epsilon'$, the theta correspondence $\pi\mapsto\theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ gives an injection*

$$\Pi_\phi\hookrightarrow\Pi_{\theta^s(\phi)}.$$

Write

- $S_\phi = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_1$
- $S_{\theta^n(\phi)}=(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_1$ *if* $\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E)=\epsilon\cdot\epsilon'$
- $S_{\theta^s(\phi)}=(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_1\times(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_2$ *if* $\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E)=-\epsilon\cdot\epsilon'$

where

$$\psi_2^E(x)=\psi(\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta x)).$$

(Note that $\theta^s(\phi)$ is a square-integrable L-parameter of $U(W_3^\epsilon)$ and the summand $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_2$ of $S_{\theta^s(\phi)}$ arises from the summand $\chi\boxtimes\boldsymbol{S}_2$ in $\theta^s(\phi)$.)

Since we are only dealing with odd dimensional spaces, there are three canonical bijections

- $J^\psi(\phi):\Pi_\phi\longleftrightarrow\mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi)$
- $J^\psi(\theta^n(\phi)):\Pi_{\theta^n(\phi)}\longleftrightarrow\mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta^n(\phi)})$
- $J^\psi(\theta^s(\phi)):\Pi_{\theta^s(\phi)}\longleftrightarrow\mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta^s(\phi)})$.

Using these maps, the following bijection and inclusion

$$\mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi)\longleftrightarrow\mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta^n(\phi)})$$
$$\eta\mapsto\theta^n(\eta),$$

$$\mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta^s(\phi)})$$
$$\eta\mapsto\theta^s(\eta)$$

induced by the theta correspondence can be explicated as follows:

$$\theta^n(\eta)(a_1)=\eta(a_1)\cdot\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\theta^s(\eta)(a_1)=\eta(a_1)\cdot\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E),\qquad\theta_2(\eta)(a_2)=-1. \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.3. Note that $\theta^n(\phi)$ is a non-generic L-parameter. Gan and Ichino (Proposition B.1 in Appendix in [9]) proved that an L-parameter is generic if and only if its associated L-packet Π_ϕ contains a generic representation (i.e. one possessing a Whittaker model). Together with the Corollary 4.2.3 in [13], which asserts that all elements in $\Pi_{\theta^n(\phi)}$ have no Whittaker models, we see that $\theta^n(\phi)$ is a non-generic L-parameter.

3.4. **Case (ii).** Now we shall consider the theta correspondence for $\mathrm{U}(V_2^{\epsilon'}) \times \mathrm{U}(W_3^{\epsilon})$. The following summarises some results of [8], [9], which are specialised to this case.

Theorem 3.4. *Let ϕ be an L-parameter for $\mathrm{U}(V_2^{\pm})$. Then we have:*

(i) *Suppose that ϕ does not contain χ^3.*
   (a) *For any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi^{\epsilon'}$ and any $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ is nonzero and $\theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ has L-parameter*
$$\theta(\phi) = (\phi \otimes \chi^{-1}) \oplus \chi^2.$$
   (b) *For each $\epsilon = \pm 1$, the theta correspondence $\pi \mapsto \theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ gives a bijection*
$$\Pi_\phi \longleftrightarrow \Pi_{\theta(\phi)}^{\epsilon}.$$

(ii) *Suppose that ϕ contains χ^3.*
   (a) *For any $\pi \in \Pi_\phi^{\epsilon'}$, exactly one of $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^+,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ or $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^-,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ is nonzero.*
   (b) *If $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ is nonzero, then $\theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ has L-parameter*
$$\theta(\phi) = (\phi \otimes \chi^{-1}) \oplus \chi^2.$$
   (c) *The theta correspondence $\pi \mapsto \theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ gives a bijection*
$$\Pi_\phi \longleftrightarrow \Pi_{\theta(\phi)}.$$

(iii) *We have fixed a bijection*
$$J_{\psi^E}(\phi) :: \Pi_\phi \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi),$$
where
$$\psi^E(x) = \psi(\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta x))$$
and there is the bijection
$$J^{\psi}(\theta(\phi)) : \Pi_{\theta(\phi)} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta(\phi)}).$$

- *If ϕ does not contain χ^3, we have*
$$S_{\theta(\phi)} = S_\phi \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})b_1,$$
  where the extra copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of $S_{\theta(\phi)}$ arises from the summand χ^2 in $\theta(\phi)$. Then for each ϵ, using the above bijection J and J_{ψ^E}, one has a canonical bijection
$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi) &\longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}^{\epsilon}(S_{\theta(\phi)}) \\ \eta &\longleftrightarrow \theta(\eta) \end{aligned}$$
  induced by the theta correspondence, where $\mathrm{Irr}^{\epsilon}(S_{\theta(\phi)})$ is the set of irreducible characters η' of $S_{\theta(\phi)}$ such that $\eta'(z_{\theta(\phi)}) = \epsilon$ and the bijection is determined by
$$\theta(\eta)|_{S_\phi} = \eta.$$
- *If ϕ contains χ^3, then $\phi \otimes \chi^{-1}$ contains χ^2, and so*
$$S_{\theta(\phi)} = S_\phi.$$
  Thus, one has a canonical bijection
$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi) &\longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta(\phi)}) \\ \eta &\longleftrightarrow \theta(\eta) \end{aligned}$$
  induced by the theta correspondence and it is given by
$$\theta(\eta) = \eta.$$

(iv) *If π is tempered and $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ is nonzero, then $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi)$ is irreducible and tempered.*

4. **Main Theorem**

In this section, we prove our main theorem. To prove it, we first state the precise result of Beuzart-Plessis which we shall use in the proof of Theorem 4.1.[2]

Theorem (B)$_n$. *Let $\phi = \phi^{(n+1)} \times \phi^{(n)}$ be a tempered L-parameter of $U(V_{n+1}^{\pm}) \times U(V_n^{\pm})$ and write $S_{\phi^{(n+1)}} = \prod_i (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_i$ and $S_{\phi^{(n)}} = \prod_j (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})b_j$. Let $\Delta : U(V_n^{\pm}) \hookrightarrow U(V_{n+1}^{\pm}) \times U(V_n^{\pm})$ be the diagonal map. Then for $\pi(\eta) \in \Pi_\phi^{R,\ \pm} = \Pi_{\phi^{(n+1)}}^{\pm} \times \Pi_{\phi^{(n)}}^{\pm}$ where $\eta \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_\phi) = \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\phi^{(n+1)}}) \times \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\phi^{(n)}})$,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta(U(V_n^{\pm}))}(\pi(\eta), \mathbb{C}) = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \eta = \eta^{\spadesuit} \ \textit{where}$$

$$\begin{cases} \eta^{\spadesuit}(a_i) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi_i^{(n+1)} \otimes \phi^{(n)}, \psi_{-2}^E); \\ \eta^{\spadesuit}(b_j) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(n+1)} \otimes \phi_j^{(n)}, \psi_{-2}^E). \end{cases}$$

where $\psi_{-2}^E(x) = \psi(-\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}(\delta x))$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}$ be tempered L-parameters of $U(V_1^{\pm})$ and $U(V_2^{\pm})$ respectively and suppose that $\phi^{(2)}$ does not contain χ^{-3}. Let*

$$\theta^n(\phi^{(1)}) = \chi|\cdot|_E^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \phi^{(1)} \cdot \chi^{-2} \oplus \chi|\cdot|_E^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\theta^s(\phi^{(1)}) = \phi^{(1)} \cdot \chi^{-2} \oplus \chi \boxtimes \boldsymbol{S}_2$$

be the two L-parameters of $U(W_3^{\pm})$ appearing in (3.1) and let

$$\theta(\phi^{(2)}) = \phi^{(2)} \otimes \chi \oplus \chi^{-2}$$

be the L-parameters of $U(W_3^{\pm})$ appearing in Theorem 3.4 (ii), in which χ is replaced by χ^{-1}.

Write

- $S_{\phi^{(1)}} = S_{\theta^n(\phi^{(1)})} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_1$;
- $S_{\theta^s(\phi^{(1)})} = S_{\phi^{(1)}} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})a_2$;
- $S_{\phi^{(2)}} = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})b_1 & \textit{if } \phi^{(2)} \textit{ is irreducible;} \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})b_1 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})b_2 & \textit{if } \phi^{(2)} = \phi_1^{(2)} \oplus \phi_2^{(2)} \textit{ is reducible} \end{cases}$
- $S_{\theta(\phi^{(2)})} = S_{\phi^{(2)}} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})c_1$

where c_1 comes from the component χ^{-2} of $\theta(\phi^{(2)})$.
We use the fixed character ψ to fix the local Langlands correspondence for $\Pi_{\phi^{(2)}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\phi^{(2)}})$.
For $x = n, s$, let

$$\theta^x(\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}) = \theta^x(\phi^{(1)}) \times \theta(\phi^{(2)})$$

be a L-parameter of $G_3^{\pm} = \mathrm{U}(W_3^{\pm}) \times \mathrm{U}(W_3^{\pm})$ and $\pi^x(\eta) \in \Pi_{\theta^x(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})}^{R,\ \epsilon}$ a representation of a relevant pure inner form of G_3. Then,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{U}(W_3^\epsilon)}(\pi^x(\eta), \omega_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon}) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \eta = \eta_x^{\blacklozenge}$$

where $\eta_x^{\blacklozenge} \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta^x(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})}) = \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta^x(\phi^{(1)})}) \times \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta(\phi^{(2)})})$ is specified as follows;

---

[2] Recently, Gan and Ichino ([9]) extended Beuzart-Plessis's work to the generic case relating it to (FJ) case.

(i) *When* $\phi^{(2)}$ *is irreducible,*

$$\begin{cases} \eta_n^{\blacklozenge}(a_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \cdot \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_n^{\blacklozenge}(b_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_n^{\blacklozenge}(c_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \end{cases},$$

$$\begin{cases} \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(a_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \cdot \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(a_2) = -1 \\ \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(b_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(c_1) = -\epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \end{cases}.$$

(ii) *When* $\phi^{(2)} = \phi_1^{(2)} \oplus \phi_2^{(2)}$ *is reducible,*

$$\begin{cases} \eta_n^{\blacklozenge}(a_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \cdot \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_n^{\blacklozenge}(b_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi_1^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_n^{\blacklozenge}(b_2) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi_2^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_n^{\blacklozenge}(c_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \end{cases},$$

$$\begin{cases} \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(a_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \cdot \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(a_2) = -1 \\ \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(b_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi_1^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(b_2) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi_2^{(2)}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_s^{\blacklozenge}(c_1) = -\epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \end{cases}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{U}(W_3^\epsilon)}(\pi^x(\eta_x^{\blacklozenge}), \omega_{\psi, W_3^\epsilon}) = 1$$

Remark 4.2. When $x = n$ or s, we have $\eta_x^{\blacklozenge}(z_{\theta^x(\phi^{(1)})}) = \eta_x^{\blacklozenge}(z_{\theta(\phi^{(2)})})$ so that $\eta_x^{\blacklozenge}$ always corresponds to a representation $\pi^x(\eta_x^{\blacklozenge})$ of a relevant pure inner form of G_3.

Proof. For each $x = n, s$, we first prove the existence of some $\epsilon_x \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\pi^x(\eta) \in \Pi^{R,\ \epsilon_x}_{\theta^x(\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)})}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{U}(W_3^{\epsilon_x})}(\pi^x(\eta), \omega_{\psi, W_3^{\epsilon_x}}) \neq 0.$$

For $a \in F^\times$, let L_a be the 1-dimensional Hermitian space with form $a \cdot \mathrm{N}_{E/F}$. Then

$$V_2^+/V_1^+ \simeq V_2^-/V_1^- \simeq L_{-1}.$$

We consider the following see-saw diagram : (ϵ, ϵ' will be determined soon)

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{U}(W_3^\epsilon) \times \mathrm{U}(W_3^\epsilon) \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dr, dash] & \mathrm{U}(V_2^{\epsilon'}) \arrow[d, dash] \arrow[dl, dash] \\ \mathrm{U}(W_3^\epsilon) & \mathrm{U}(V_1^{\epsilon'}) \times \mathrm{U}(L_{-1}) \end{tikzcd} \quad . \tag{4.1}$$

In this diagram, we shall use three theta correspondences :

(i) $U(V_2^{\epsilon'}) \times U(W_3^\epsilon)$ relative to the pair of characters (χ^2, χ^3);
(ii) $\mathrm{U}(V_1^{\epsilon'}) \times U(W_3^\epsilon)$ relative to the pair of characters (χ, χ^3);

(iii) $\mathrm{U}(L_{-1})\times\mathrm{U}(W_3^{\epsilon})$ relative to the pair of characters (χ^{-1},χ^3).

By $(B)_1$, there is a unique $\epsilon'\in\{\pm1\}$ and a unique pair of components characters

$$(\eta_2,\eta_1)\in\mathrm{Irr}^{\epsilon'}(S_{(\phi^{(2)})^\vee})\times\mathrm{Irr}^{\epsilon'}(S_{(\phi^{(1)})^\vee})$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta U(V_1^{\epsilon'})}(\pi(\eta_2)\otimes\pi(\eta_1),\mathbb{C})\neq0.$$

Moreover, $\epsilon'=\eta_1(a_1)=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},(\phi^{(1)})^\vee\otimes(\phi^{(2)})^\vee,\psi^E_{-2})=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\phi^{(2)},\psi^E_2)$.

By Theorem 3.4 (i), (iv) and Theorem 4.1 in [2],$\Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^{\epsilon}}\big(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\big)$ is nonzero for any $\epsilon\in\{\pm1\}$. Since $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\boxtimes\Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^{\epsilon}}\big(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\big)$ is the maximal $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))$-isotypic quotient of ω_{ψ,V_2,W_3} and $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\boxtimes\pi(\eta_2)$ is a $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))$-isotypic quotient of ω_{ψ,V_2,W_3}, $\pi(\eta_2)$ should be a quotient of $\Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^{\epsilon}}\big(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\big)$. By Proposition 5.4 in [2], $\Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^{\epsilon}}\big(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\big)$ is irreducible and thus we have $\Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^{\epsilon}}\big(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\big)=\pi(\eta_2)$.

Since

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta U(V_1^{\epsilon'})}(\pi(\eta_2),\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\neq0,$$

by the see-saw identity and Remark 3.1, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta U(W_3^{\epsilon})}\left(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\otimes\omega^\vee_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon}},\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\right)\neq0$$

and since $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))$ and $\omega^\vee_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon}}$ are admissible, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta U(W_3^{\epsilon})}\left(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\otimes\Theta^\vee_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2)),\omega_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon}}\right)\neq0.$$

By Theorem 3.2 (iii) and Theorem 3.4 (i), the L-parameter of $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\otimes\Theta^\vee_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))$ is

$$\begin{cases}\theta^n(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)}) & \text{if } \epsilon=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E)\cdot\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\phi^{(2)},\psi_2^E)\\ \theta^s(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)}) & \text{if } \epsilon=-\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E)\cdot\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\phi^{(2)},\psi_2^E)\end{cases}$$

and by Theorem 3.2 (v), Theorem 3.4 (iii), we see that their associated component characters are $\eta_n^{\blacklozenge},\eta_s^{\blacklozenge}$ in each cases.

Next we shall prove that these are the unique representations which yield *Fourier-Jacobi* model in each L-packets $\Pi_{\theta^n(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})}$ and $\Pi_{\theta^s(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})}$.

Since $\theta^s(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})$ is tempered L-parameter, the uniqueness easily follows from $(\mathrm{FJ})_3$ in this case. Therefore, we shall only consider the non-tempered L-parameter $\theta^n(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})$.
Let $\pi_2\otimes\pi_1\in\Pi^{R,\ \epsilon}_{\theta^n(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})}=\Pi^{\epsilon}_{\theta^n(\phi^{(1)})}\times\Pi^{\epsilon}_{\theta(\phi^{(2)})}$ be a representation satisfying

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{U}(W_3^{\epsilon})}(\pi_2\otimes\pi_1,\omega_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon}})\neq0$$

and in turn

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta\mathrm{U}(W_3^{\epsilon})}(\pi_2\otimes\omega^\vee_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon}},\pi_1^\vee)\neq0.$$

(The existence of such $\pi_2\otimes\pi_1$ was ensured by the previous step.)
By Theorem 3.2 (iv), we can write $\pi_2=\Theta_{\psi,W_3^{\epsilon},V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^{(1)})$ for some $\pi^{(1)}\in\Pi^{\epsilon'}_{\theta^{(1)}}$ where

$$\epsilon'=\epsilon\cdot\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi_2^E).$$

Then by applying the see-saw duality in the see-saw diagram in (4.1), one has

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{U}(W_3^\epsilon)}(\pi_2 \otimes \omega^\vee_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon}, \pi_1^\vee) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{U(V_1^{\epsilon'})}(\pi^{(2)}, \pi^{(1)}) \neq 0$$

where $\pi^{(2)} = \Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^\epsilon}(\pi_1^\vee)$.
Note that $\pi^{(2)} \neq 0$ and so it has tempered L-parameter $(\phi^{(2)})^\vee$.
Then by the $(\mathrm{B})_1$, $(\pi^{(2)}, \pi^{(1)})$ is the unique pair in the L-packet $\Pi_{(\phi^{(2)})^\vee} \times \Pi_{\phi^{(1)}}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{U(V_1^{\epsilon'})}(\pi^{(2)}, \pi^{(1)}) \neq 0$$

and so (π_2, π_1) should be $(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^{(1)}), \Theta^\vee_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^{(2)}))$.
This settles down the uniqueness issue.

$\square$

Remark 4.3. When the L-parameter $\phi^{(2)}$ of $U(V_2^\pm)$ contains χ^{-3}, we can write $\phi^{(2)} = \phi_0 \oplus \chi^{-3}$ for a L-parameter ϕ_0 of $U(V_1^\pm)$. Then, we set

$$\theta(\phi^{(2)}) = \begin{cases} 3 \cdot \chi^{-2} & \text{if } \phi_0 = \chi^{-3} \ , \\ \phi_0 \cdot \chi \oplus 2 \cdot \chi^{-2} & \text{if } \phi_0 \neq \chi^{-3} \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_{\theta(\phi^{(2)})} = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})b_1 & \text{if } \phi_0 = \chi^{-3} \ , \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})b_1 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})c_1 & \text{if } \phi_0 \neq \chi^{-3}. \end{cases}$$

If one develops a similar argument in this case, one could also have a recipe as in Theorem 4.4 for non-tempered case. However, we need some assumption on the irreducibility of the theta lifts because we cannot apply Proposition 5.4 in [2].

Theorem 4.4. *Let the notations be as in Thorem 4.1 and assume this time that* $\phi^{(2)}$ *contains* χ^{-3}. *Assume that for* $\pi \in \prod^\epsilon_{\theta((\phi^{(2)})^\vee)}$, *if* $\Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^\epsilon}(\pi)$ *is nonzero, it is irreducible.*

Then,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{U}(W_3^\epsilon)}(\pi^n(\eta), \omega_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon}) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \eta = \eta_n^\blacklozenge$$

where $\eta_n^\blacklozenge \in \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta^n(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})}) = \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta^n(\phi^{(1)})}) \times \mathrm{Irr}(S_{\theta(\phi^{(2)})})$ *is specified as follows;*

- *When* $\phi_0 = \chi^{-3}$,
$$\begin{cases} \eta_n^\blacklozenge(a_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_n^\blacklozenge(b_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E). \end{cases}$$
- *When* $\phi_0 \neq \chi^{-3}$,
$$\begin{cases} \eta_n^\blacklozenge(a_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi_0, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_n^\blacklozenge(b_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \phi_0, \psi_2^E) \\ \eta_n^\blacklozenge(c_1) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \phi^{(1)} \otimes \chi^{-3}, \psi_2^E). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first prove the existence of some $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\pi^n(\eta) \in \Pi^{R,\ \epsilon}_{\theta^n(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta \mathrm{U}(W_3^\epsilon)}(\pi^n(\eta), \omega_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon}) \neq 0.$$

By $(B)_1$, there is a unique $\epsilon' \in \{\pm 1\}$ and a unique pair of components characters

$$(\eta_2, \eta_1) \in \mathrm{Irr}^{\epsilon'}(S_{(\phi^{(2)})^\vee}) \times \mathrm{Irr}^{\epsilon'}(S_{(\phi^{(1)})^\vee})$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta U(V_1^{\epsilon'})}(\pi(\eta_2)\otimes\pi(\eta_1),\mathbb{C})\neq 0.$$

Moreover, $\eta_2(b_1)=\epsilon((\phi^{(1)})^\vee\otimes(\phi_0)^\vee,\psi^E_{-2})=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\phi_0,\psi^E_2)$ and

$$\epsilon'=\eta_1(a_1)=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},(\phi^{(1)})^\vee\otimes(\phi^{(2)})^\vee,\psi^E_{-2})=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\phi^{(2)},\psi^E_2).$$

By Theorem 3.4 (ii) and (iv), $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))$ is a nonzero irreducible representation of $U(W_3^\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon\in\{\pm1\}$ and by Theorem 4.1 in [2], $\Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^\epsilon}\big(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\big)$ is nonzero. So by our assumption, it is irreducible and we have $\Theta_{\psi,V_2^{\epsilon'},W_3^\epsilon}\big(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\big)=\pi(\eta_2)$.

Since

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta U(V_1^{\epsilon'})}(\pi(\eta_2),\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\neq 0,$$

by the see-saw identity and Remark 3.1, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta U(W_3^\epsilon)}\left(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\otimes\omega^\vee_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon},\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))\right)\neq 0$$

and since $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))$ and $\omega^\vee_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon}$ are admissible, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta U(W_3^\epsilon)}\left(\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\otimes\Theta^\vee_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2)),\omega_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon}\right)\neq 0.$$

Write $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))=\pi(\eta_3)$ for some $\eta_3\in\prod_{\theta((\phi^{(2)})^\vee)}$.
If $\phi_0=\chi^{-3}$, then $\eta_3(z_{\theta((\phi^{(2)})^\vee)})=\eta_3(3\cdot b_1)=\eta_3(b_1)$ and if $\phi_0\neq\chi^{-3}$, then $\eta_3(z_{\theta((\phi^{(2)})^\vee)})=\eta_3(b_1)$.
Thus in both cases, we have

$$\epsilon=\eta_3(z_{\theta((\phi^{(2)})^\vee)})=\eta_3(b_1)=\eta_2(b_1)=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\phi_0,\psi^E_2).$$

Since

$$\epsilon'=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\phi^{(2)},\psi^E_2)=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\phi_0,\psi^E_2)\cdot\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi^E_2),$$

we have $\epsilon\cdot\epsilon'=\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi^{(1)}\otimes\chi^{-3},\psi^E_2)$ and so by Theorem 3.2 (iii) and Theorem 3.4 (i), the L-parameter of $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\otimes\Theta^\vee_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))$ is $\theta^n(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})$.

Furthermore, by applying Theorem 3.2 (v), Theorem 3.4 (iii), we see that the associated component character of $\Theta_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_1^{\epsilon'}}(\pi^\vee(\eta_1))\otimes\Theta^\vee_{\psi,W_3^\epsilon,V_2^{\epsilon'}}(\pi(\eta_2))$ is exactly $\eta_n^{\blacklozenge}$ in each cases and it proves the existence part. The proof of the uniqueness part is essentially same as the one in Theorem 4.1. ☐

Remark 4.5. It is remarkable that for the supercuspidal L-parameter $\theta^s(\phi^{(1)},\phi^{(2)})$ with $\theta(\phi^{(2)})$ as above, the recipe, which is sugegsted in (FJ)$_3$, does not occur from the theta lift from $U(V_1^\pm)$ and $U(V_2^\pm)$. This is quite similar with the Proposition 4.6 in [14], which concerns the non-generic aspect of *Bessel* case of the GGP conjecture.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, S. Rallis, and G. Schiffmann, *Multiplicity one theorems*, Ann. of Math. **172** (2010), 1407–1434

[2] H. Atobe, W. T. Gan, *Local theta correspondence of tempered representations and Langlands parameters*, `arXiv:1602.01299`.

[3] R. Beuzart-Plessis, *Expression d'un facteur epsilon de paire par une formule intégrale*, Canad. J. Math. **66** (2014), 993–1049.

[4] ———, *La conjecture locale de Gross–Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes unitaires*, `arXiv:1205.2987`.

[5] ———, *Endoscopie et conjecture raffinée de Gan–Gross–Prasad pour les groupes unitaires*, to appear in Compos. Math.,

[6] Wee Teck Gan, Benedict Gross and Dipendra Prasad. *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups*, Asterisque **346** (2012), 1-110

[7] Benedict Gross and Dipendra Prasad. *On the decomposition of a representation of SO_n when restricted to SO_{n-1}*, Canad. J. Math. **44**(5) (1992), 974–1002

[8] W. T. Gan and A. Ichino. *Formal degrees and local theta correspondence*, Invent. Math. **195** (2014), 509–672.

[9] ———, *The Gross-Prasad conjecture and local theta correspondence*, to appear in Invent. Math.

[10] W. T. Gan and S. Takeda, *On the Howe duality conjecture in classical theta correspondence*, to appear in Contemporary Math. (volume in honor of J. Cogdell's 60th birthday)

[11] ———, *A proof of the Howe duality conjecture*, J. of American Math. Society. **29** (2016), no. 2, 473-493

[12] Gelbart, S., Rogawski, J. *L-functions and Fourier-Jacobi coefficients for the unitary group U(3)*, Invent. Math. **105** (1991), 445-472

[13] ———, *Exceptional representations and Shimura's integral for the local unitary group U(3)*, In Festschrift in honor of Piatetski-Shapiro, volume **2** (1990), pages 19-75. Israel Math. Conf. Proc.

[14] J. Haan, *The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for $U(3) \times U(2)$: the non-generic case*, J. of Number Theory. **165** (2016), 324-354

[15] M. Harris, S.S. Kudla, J. Sweet , *Theta dichotomy for unitary groups*, Journal of the A.M.S vol.**9** (1996), 941-1004

[16] M. Harris and R. Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **151** (2001), Princeton University Press.

[17] G. Henniart, *Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ *sur un corps p-adique*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 439–455.

[18] T. Kaletha. *Genericity and contragredience in the local Langlands correspondence*, Algebra and Number theory. **7** (2013), 2447-2474

[19] T. Kaletha, A. Mínguez, S. W. Shin, and P.-J. White. *Endoscopic classification of representations: inner forms of unitary groups*, `arXiv:1409.3731`.

[20] C.Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* VI*: la partie géométrique de cette formule*, arXiv:1406.2257.

[21] C.Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* X*: stabilisation spectrale*, arXiv:1412.2981.

[22] C. Mok, *Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups*, to appear in the Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society.

[23] Rogawski, J., *Automorphic representations of unitary group in three variables*, Annals of Math. Studies vol.**123** (1990). Princeton University Press

[24] P. Scholze, *The local Langlands correspondence for* GL_n *over p-adic fields*, Invent. Math. **192** (2013), 663–715.

[25] B. Sun, *Multiplicity one theorems for Fourier-Jacobi models*, Amer. J. Math. **134**(6) (2012), 1655–1678

[26] D. A. Vogan, Jr., *The local Langlands conjecture*, Representation theory of groups and algebras, Contemp. Math. **145** (1993), 305–379, Amer. Math. Soc.

[27] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Démonstration d'une conjecture de dualité de Howe dans le cas p-adique,* $p \neq 2$, Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part I, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **2** (1990), pp. 267–324, Weizmann.

[28] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* I*: endoscopie tordue sur un corps local*, arXiv:1401.4569.

[29] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* II*: intégrales orbitales et endoscopie sur un corps local non-archimédien; définitions et énoncés des résultats*, arXiv:1401.7127.

[30] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* III*: intégrales orbitales et endoscopie sur un corps local non-archimédien; réductions et preuves*, arXiv:1402.2753.

[31] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* IV*: transfert spectral archimédien*, arXiv:1403.1454.

[32] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* V*: intégrales orbitales et endoscopie sur le corps réel*, arXiv:1404.2402.

[33] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* VII*: descente globale*, arXiv:1409.0960.

[34] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* VIII*: l'application* $\epsilon_{\tilde{M}}$ *sur un corps de base local non-archimédien*, arXiv:1410.1124.

[35] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue* IX*: propriétés des intégrales orbitales pondérées* ω*-équivariantes sur le corps réel*, arXiv:1412.2565.

Algebraic Structure and its Applications Research Center(ASARC), Department of Mathematics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

E-mail address: `jaehohaan@gmail.com`